



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,931	01/08/2002	Marc Wasberg	2302.2060	8802
5514	7590	12/07/2004	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			BECKER, DREW E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/937,931	WASBERG ET AL.
	Examiner Drew E Becker	Art Unit 1761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on September 24, 2004 for an RCE based on parent Application No. 09/937,931 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9, 11, 13, 15-17, and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jud [Pat. No. 4,658,963].

Jud teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 4, #5), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 4, #1), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 2, #3), opening the package by bending it to rupture only the precut line (Figures 4-5), the bending inherently causing increased pressure,

tensioning, and an explosive noise, several products of equal size within the package (Figure 7), the precut line located adjacent two of the products (Figure 7, #28), the film having two superposed layers with the precut in a bottom layer (Figure 1, #2), the use of polypropylene (column 3, line 54), the product being chocolate (column 3, line 2), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 2, lines 45-61; Figure 1, #2), and a device for making the item comprising a packaging machine (column 2, line 56).

4. Claims 1-4, 7, 11-14, 17, 19-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haiss [Pat. No. 4,290,526].
Haiss teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 2, #9), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 1a, #1), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 1a, #3), opening the package by rupturing only the precut line (Figures 1-2), the bending inherently causing increased pressure, tensioning, and an explosive noise, a joining line (Figure 1, #4), the film having two superposed layers (column 2, lines 1-5), the use of polypropylene and polyethylene (column 2, lines 4-5), the product being chocolate or ice cream (column 1, line 10), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 2, lines 1-38), and inherently including means for making the item. Phrases such as "bending the item" are merely preferred methods of using the claimed product, an apparatus. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the

claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647. MPEP 2114. “[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.”

In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

5. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-13, 15-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marbler [Pat. No. 5,934,809].

Marbler teaches an item comprising a product (Figure 5, #26), a flexible film enclosing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 4, #16), a precut line perpendicular to the longest side (Figure 4, #18), opening the package by bending it to rupture only the precut line (column 5, line 48), the bending inherently causing increased pressure, tensioning, and an explosive noise, a joining line (Figure 3, #19), the film having two superposed layers with the precut extending through an outer layer (Figure 6, #21-22), the use of polypropylene and polyethylene (column 2, lines 15-25), the precut line being present in one of the outer layers without extending into an inner layer (Figure 6, #18 & 21-22), the product being chocolate (column 5, line 66), a method of making the item wherein the film is shaped first (column 5, lines 25-34), and a means for making the item (Figure 1).

6. Claims 1-2, 15-17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 98/45127.

Martel et al [Pat. No. 6,315,480] shall be treated as a translation of WO 98/45127. Martel et al teach an item and method comprising a product (column 9, line 30), a flexible film enclosure containing the product and an amount of gas (Figure 17, #41-43), bending the item until it bursts (Figure 17), a precut line (Figure 16, #43), and inherently including means for producing the item.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that none of the references teach grasping the item "in such a way as to compress the item so as to increase the pressure of the gas to such a point that bending the item causes the film to rupture instantaneously along the most part of the precut line". However, all the references included a product and gas within the package and the internal pressure would have inherently increased when the packages were grasped and bent. Furthermore, the claim specifically states that "bending the item causes the film to rupture", rather than the gas pressure.

Applicants argue that the references pulled the items apart while bending them. However, it should be noted that applicants' own figures 3-5 explicitly teach pulling the item apart as it was bent.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., shaping steps and other features described in the specification) are

Art Unit: 1761

not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew Becker
DREW BECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
12-6-04